Binding-induced folding under unfolding conditions: Switching between induced fit and conformational selection mechanisms
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The chemistry of protein–ligand binding is the basis of virtually every biological process. Ligand binding can be essential for a protein to function in the cell by stabilizing or altering the conformation of a protein, particularly for partially or completely unstructured proteins. However, the mechanisms by which ligand binding impacts disordered proteins or influences the role of disorder in protein folding is not clear. To gain insight into this question, the mechanism of folding induced by the binding of a Pro-rich peptide ligand to the SH3 domain of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase unfolded in the presence of urea has been studied using kinetic methods. Under strongly denaturing conditions, folding was found to follow a conformational selection (CS) mechanism. However, under mildly denaturing conditions, a ligand concentration–dependent switch in the mechanism was observed. The folding mechanism switched from being predominantly a CS mechanism at low ligand concentrations to being predominantly an induced fit (IF) mechanism at high ligand concentrations. The switch in the mechanism manifests itself as an increase in the reaction flux along the IF pathway at high ligand concentrations. The results indicate that, in the case of intrinsically disordered proteins too, the folding mechanism is determined by the concentration of the ligand that induces structure formation.

The binding of ligands to macromolecules is key to all processes in a living cell. Tight regulation of biological processes, including allosteric regulation (1, 2), is made possible by the binding of specific ligands. Two models were proposed more than 50 years ago to describe protein–ligand binding interactions and binding cooperativity. The sequential model (3) posited a conformational change upon ligand binding, whereas the concerted model (4) posited the pre-existence of at least two conformational states to which ligand could bind. Although these models were proposed to explain the allostery seen in the case of many multimeric proteins, the same concepts of induced fit (IF) and conformational selection (CS) can be used to describe structural changes induced upon ligand binding to monomeric proteins.

Importantly, proteins are stabilized when a ligand binds more strongly to the folded state than to the unfolded state. Hence, a protein that would be unfolded in the absence of a ligand in the presence of denaturant is expected to fold completely upon the addition of ligand. Consequently, it becomes possible to study the folding reaction at high denaturant concentration (5, 6). This capability enables an important question in protein folding to be addressed: is the mechanism of folding at high denaturant concentration the same as that at low denaturant concentration?

To address this question, it is first necessary to determine the mechanism of binding-induced folding. Because there are two steps, ligand binding and folding, associated with the acquisition of structure, there are two possible mechanisms for attaining the folded state (Fig. 1). The IF mechanism involves weak binding of the ligand to the unfolded state followed by folding. It posits that the binding energy, although not large, is sufficient to drive folding to the structured state. In contrast, the CS mechanism posits that the ligand binds selectively to a pre-existing, binding-competent conformation of the protein, which is in equilibrium with the unbound, structureless state. Thermodynamic coupling eventually leads to the folding of all the protein molecules.

An understanding of binding-induced folding in the case of denaturant-unfolded proteins will provide insight into the mechanism by which an intrinsically disordered protein (IDP) gains structure upon binding to its ligand. For IDPs, it has been predicted that both the IF and CS pathways may be operative at the same time (7–9). Nevertheless, their folding has been invariably found to be describable by the IF mechanism (10–13). This is surprising given that folded protein molecules have been shown to sample many different partially unfolded (14–17) and even fully unfolded (18, 19) conformations. IDPs are therefore expected to have thermally accessible folded conformations in equilibrium with the unfolded conformation, and their folding should be describable by the CS mechanism at least under some conditions.

The abbreviations used are: IF, induced fit; P13K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; SH3, Src homology 3; CS, conformational selection; IDP, intrinsically disordered protein; L, ligand; DLS, dynamic light scattering; TS, transition state; N, native; U, unfolded.
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Distinguishing between the IF and CS mechanisms (20, 21) is important in other contexts too. Both IF and CS mechanisms have been proposed to be the underlying basis of the prion hypothesis that describes the spread of infectious protein amyloid aggregates in many neurodegenerative diseases (22–25). This idea hypothesizes that describes the spread of infectious protein amyloid aggregates in many neurodegenerative diseases (22–25). The ability to distinguish between the CS and IF mechanisms has a profound bearing on devising strategies to combat the spread of the disease in the brain (26).

SH3 domains are found in many proteins and are involved in diverse biological processes, including signal transduction (27–29). These biological processes are mediated by the formation of multimeric protein complexes. The formation of these complexes is facilitated by the binding of the SH3 domain to a Pro-rich motif present in its binding partner. SH3 domains recognize and bind to peptides having a PXXP (X is any amino acid residue) sequence segment (27). No significant conformational change occurs in the SH3 domain upon such binding (30, 31).

In this study, the mechanism of ligand-induced folding of the SH3 domain of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase was characterized. The ligand used was a Pro-rich peptide having nine amino acid residues (RPLPPRPSK). This peptide had been shown to bind to the PI3K SH3 domain in earlier studies (30). Here, binding to the peptide ligand is shown to stabilize the native protein against urea-induced denaturation. Addition of the peptide ligand to the protein in unfolding conditions is shown to drive the folding of the unfolded protein. To understand the mechanism of ligand-induced folding, the kinetics of the folding process was studied using fluorescence spectroscopy. A ligand concentration–mediated switch in the mechanism was found to occur, from being dominated by CS at low ligand concentrations to being IF at high ligand concentrations, under mildly denaturing conditions. The current study shows that binding-induced folding under unfolding conditions can be tuned from following only a CS pathway to following both the CS and IF pathways suggests that IDP folding is also tuneable by varying ligand concentration.

Results

In this study, the mechanism of folding of the PI3K SH3 domain, induced by a peptide ligand, has been studied. The PI3K SH3 domain is a well-folded, globular protein that does not undergo any major structural change upon binding to peptide ligands (30, 31). Folding induced by the binding of the peptide ligand was studied under unfolding conditions where the free protein is mostly unfolded, but the protein–ligand complex is folded (Fig. 2c).

Pro-rich peptide binds to PI3K SH3 domain and induces its folding in the presence of urea

Equilibrium binding studies were carried out at different urea concentrations by incubating a fixed concentration of protein with various concentrations of a Pro-rich peptide ligand for 5 h. The concentration of protein used in each case was at least 20-fold less than the $K_D$ value at every urea concentration so that binding did not affect the free ligand concentration. The sole Trp residue in the protein, Trp-53, is at the binding interface, and an $\sim$20-nm blue shift in the wavelength of the maximum fluorescence emission, accompanied by an increase in the fluorescence quantum yield, was seen upon ligand binding (Fig. 2a) upon excitation at 268 nm. Fig. 2a also shows that, in the absence of any peptide ligand, the Tyr fluorescence of the protein, which is maximum at 300 nm (32, 33), increases upon unfolding as the quenching of Tyr fluorescence by FRET occurring from Tyr residues to Trp-53 in N (native) is released upon unfolding to U (unfolded).

The equilibrium binding curve obtained at each of four different urea concentrations (Fig. 3, a–d) yielded the overall apparent dissociation constant, which was found to increase in value with an increase in urea concentration (Fig. 3, a–d). Nevertheless, binding was observed at high ligand concentration. The fluorescence emission spectrum of the ligand-bound state at any of the urea concentrations showed maximum fluorescence emission at 335 nm (Fig. 3, inset) as did the bound state under native conditions (Fig. 2a). Because folding is induced by ligand binding (Fig. 1), at equilibrium, both the ligand-bound N state and the ligand-bound U state will contribute to the fraction of protein with bound ligand. The data were fit to Equation 2 and led to the determination of $K^I_{D}$. The fraction of protein in the UL state was found to be negligible compared with the fraction of protein in the NL state. The logarithm of $K^I_{D}$ was found to increase linearly with an increase in urea concentration (Fig. S1b).

Kinetics of ligand-induced folding of the unfolded PI3K SH3 domain

The kinetics of ligand-induced folding was monitored at various concentrations of ligand, at different urea concentrations, in manual mixing experiments. The kinetic trace at each concentration of urea was describable by a single-exponential equation (Fig. 4, a–d). At all the urea concentrations, the $t = \infty$ points of the kinetic folding traces fell on the equilibrium binding curve, indicating that the reactions had been monitored till completion (Fig. 5, a–d). Nevertheless, a burst phase was observed to have occurred within the dead time of manual mixing (Fig. 4, a–d) whose amplitude increased with a decrease in the concentration of urea (Fig. 5, a–d). Stopped-flow mixing (dead time, 11 ms) did not abolish the burst phase (Fig. S4).
Tuning the mechanism of binding-induced folding

The observed rate constants decreased asymptotically in a manner similar to the binding affinity of the ligand for the N state at each urea concentration. The signal for unbound N was taken from the equilibrium binding curve because the N and U signals at the wavelength used for acquiring the binding curves were not very different (Fig. 2a). The value of $K_D$ for N ($K_D^N$) at each urea concentration was thus obtained; not surprisingly, the presence of urea caused the binding affinity of the ligand for N to decrease (Figs. 5 and S1a and Table 1).

Mechanism of ligand binding to the PI3K SH3 domain in the presence of urea

It is assumed that the ligand binding steps are much faster than the conformational conversion steps. When the concentration of the ligand is much higher than the protein concentration, the observed rate constants of the two extreme mechanisms, CS and IF, are expected to decrease and increase, respectively, with an increase in ligand concentration (7).

Fig. 7 shows the dependences on ligand concentration of observed rate constants of folding induced by ligand. Fig. 7, a and b, show the observed rate constants at 6 and 5 M urea where the fraction of protein in the N state is 2 and 12%, respectively. The observed rate constants decreased asymptotically in a hyperbolic manner with an increase in the concentration of ligand. When fit to the CS model (Equation 3), the data yielded
the folding and unfolding rate constants at each urea concentration (Table 1), which were in agreement with the folding and unfolding rate constants obtained from folding and unfolding experiments with the free protein in the absence of ligand (Figs. 6b and S2, a–d).

Fig. 7, c and d, show the dependences of the observed rate constants on ligand concentration at 3.5 and 2.8 M urea, respectively. In these cases, the rate constants decreased at low ligand concentrations and thereafter increased at high ligand concentrations. The data were explainable by a model that took into account both the mechanisms being operative simultaneously. The rate constants of folding and unfolding of the free PI3K SH3 domain and of the protein–ligand complex were obtained by fitting the data in Fig. 7, c and d, to Equation 4 (Table 1). The folding and unfolding rate constants of the free protein were in good agreement with the rate constants obtained from urea-induced folding and unfolding experiments carried out in the absence of any ligand (Figs. 6b and S2, a–d). The flux along each pathway at 3.5 and 2.8 M urea was then calculated from the knowledge of the rate constants and the population of each species on each pathway (Equations 7 and 10). The fractional flux along the IF pathway was found to increase at high ligand concentrations as the fractional flux along the CS pathway decreased (Fig. 8, a and b). It was also noted that, at 2.8 M urea, the fractional flux along the IF pathway became greater than that along the CS pathway at a lower concentration of peptide ligand than at 3.5 M urea (Fig. 8, a and b).

It was important to rule out any nonspecific binding of ligand to protein at very high ligand concentrations. This was done by monitoring binding by measurement of fluorescence anisotropy, whose value depends on the size of the protein–ligand complex formed. The binding curve obtained with fluorescence anisotropy as the probe was found to be coincident with that obtained using fluorescence intensity as the probe, even at high ligand concentrations (Fig. S6). This ruled out the possibility of any nonspecific binding occurring at high ligand concentrations. Moreover, previous NMR experiments carried out under native conditions had shown that chemical shift perturbation occurs only at the primary binding site (34), even at a 2 mM concentration of the same peptide ligand used in the current study.

Measurement of folding rate constants under denaturing conditions and unfolding rate constants under renaturing conditions

Direct measurement of folding rate constants under denaturing conditions and unfolding rate constants under renatur-
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Figure 5. Comparison of the kinetic amplitudes with the equilibrium amplitudes of folding in 6 (a), 5 (b), 3.5 (c), and 2.8 M urea (d). Open circles, equilibrium binding curve; red filled circles, the t = 0 points; and blue filled circles, the t = ∞ points of the kinetic traces of folding induced by ligand binding. For each urea concentration, the data have been normalized to a value of 1 for the protein fluorescence signal in the absence of ligand. The solid lines through the t = 0 points (red filled circles) are fits to Equation 1. The error bars, showing the spread in the data, were obtained from two or more independent experiments.

Discussion

The mechanism of structure formation upon ligand binding has remained an open question for many years now. There has been a large body of work done on many systems to elucidate the mechanism of folding induced by binding (10–12, 35–42). In the current study, the kinetics of ligand-induced folding of the unfolded PI3K SH3 domain has been studied. The ligand-induced folding process appears to occur through both the CS and IF mechanisms. The reaction conditions dictate the mechanism that is operative. At high urea concentrations, folding occurs via the CS mechanism, whereas at low urea concentrations, a ligand concentration-dependent switch in the mechanism, from CS to IF, is observed. This kind of a switch in the mechanism is expected to occur on theoretical considerations (7) but has been observed only for RNase P from Bacillus subtilis (43).

Pro-rich peptide causes unfolded PI3K SH3 to fold

The Pro-rich peptide used as the ligand in this study is a polyproline-II helix (30). These secondary structural motifs, in which intramolecular H-bonds are absent, have been found in IDPs (44). Under native conditions, the value of \( K_D \) of the PI3K SH3 domain for the Pro-rich peptide matches the previously reported value (Fig. 2b) (30). The observation that the PI3K SH3 domain gains stability upon ligand binding (Fig. 2c) suggested that the fraction of protein in the folded state at any urea concentration would be greater in the presence of the ligand than in its absence. This indicated that the addition of ligand would cause the protein to fold at high urea concentrations. This strategy was used to study the mechanism of ligand-induced folding of the unfolded protein.

Urea reduces the binding affinity of PI3K SH3 domain for the ligand

The population of N present at each urea concentration was found to bind to the ligand within the dead time of manual mixing in the burst phase (Fig. 4, a–d). The values of \( K_D^N \), calculated from the burst phase amplitude indicated that urea has a significant effect on the binding affinity (Figs. 5 and S1a and Table 1). Urea is known to disrupt hydrophobic interactions in proteins (45, 46), and hydrophobic contacts are known to be important in the interaction between the SH3 domain and the ligand (30). A linear dependence of the logarithm of \( K_D^N \) on the concentration of urea (Fig. S1a) is expected when the interactions between the SH3 domain and the ligand, which are broken in the presence of urea, are hydrophobic in nature.

Binding-induced folding of the PI3K SH3 domain occurs by the CS mechanism under strongly denaturing conditions

In the case of the PI3K SH3 domain, because NL is more stable than U, the selective binding of N to the ligand causes U to convert to N by the law of mass action (Fig. 1), according to the CS mechanism, under strongly denaturing conditions. The ligand binds to the already existing N, thereby pulling the U ↔ N equilibrium toward N. Hence, overall, folding is followed by binding. Under strongly denaturing conditions, the PI3K SH3 domain is completely unstructured and hence will have very low affinity for the ligand. Finally, the rate constant of U binding to ligand and the rate constant of the formation of N from U determine the preferred pathway to be taken for the protein to fold (Fig. 1). Hence, the ligand binds to U slowly, and CS is the dominant pathway.

It has been surprising that binding-induced folding of IDPs invariably follows an IF mechanism (10–13). The current study of binding-induced folding of the PI3K SH3 domain suggests that the principal reason for a CS mechanism not being observed in the case of IDPs is that the binding affinity of the
ligand for U is not low enough. Although the PI3K SH3 domain is obviously not an IDP, it could be artificially tuned to be unfolded by the addition of urea. The ability to modulate binding affinity by changing the urea concentration made it possible to study the mechanism of binding-induced folding over a very wide range of ligand concentrations, which is usually not possible with an IDP.

The interactions between native PI3K SH3 domain and its peptide ligand are primarily hydrophobic in nature (34) as are the interactions between IDPs and their ligands (47). Nevertheless, there is a major difference in the mode of binding. For almost all IDPs, the binding site is composed of a continuous stretch of amino acid residues (10-13, 48), which increases the probability of productive binding interactions with the ligand in their unfolded form. In the case of the PI3K SH3 domain, different segments of the protein together constitute the binding site (30), and hence, ligand binding is possible only after the tertiary structure is attained and the binding site is formed.

**Ligand concentration–mediated switch in the mechanism of folding from CS to IF**

The folding mechanism of a protein induced by ligand binding can be described by one of the two extreme possible mechanisms, CS and IF. In a pure CS mechanism, all the molecules fold by shifting the population toward N by selective binding of the ligand to the pre-existing N state. In contrast, if a pure IF mechanism is followed, structural induction occurs only after binding of the ligand to the U state. However, the energy landscape of ligand-induced folding could have contributions from both intramolecular (CS mechanism) and intermolecular (IF mechanism) interactions, and depending on the conditions, either intramolecular or intermolecular interactions could drive the process of folding. In an earlier study, a ligand concentration–mediated switch in the mechanism of coupled folding and binding was seen (43) wherein the rate constants of every step were obtained by fitting the kinetics of binding, induced by binding, to a complex model. The obtained rate constants were then used to calculate the flux along each pathway. The calculated flux indicated a ligand concentration–mediated switch in the mechanism. In contrast, in this study, direct evidence of a shift in the mechanism from CS to IF is observed. The observed rate constant of folding, induced by ligand binding, is seen to decrease at low ligand concentrations and increase at high ligand concentrations (Fig. 7, c and d), which are defining features of the CS and IF mechanisms, respectively. The calculation of relative flux along the CS and IF pathways also led to the same inference (Fig. 8, a and b).

In the current study, the mechanism is seen to switch from CS to IF at the urea concentrations of 3.5 and 2.8 M, and this switch in the mode of binding is brought about by the concentration of ligand. At urea concentrations of 3.5 and 2.8 M, bind-
ing-competent N constitutes 70 and 85%, respectively, of the population of protein molecules; nevertheless, the IF mechanism is followed at high ligand concentrations. Thus, this study indicates that the mere presence of a binding-competent form does not necessarily make the reaction follow a pure CS model. This is an important result because, with the advent of high-resolution NMR techniques, conformations structurally similar to the bound state have been shown to be populated to minor extents in equilibrium with the disordered state, and this observation of a minor binding-competent species in equilibrium with the major state was inferred to mean that the CS mechanism was operative (49–52).

Because two pathways are available for U to fold to NL (Fig. 1), the rate constants defining the pathways determine the dominant pathway. The apparently first-order rate constant of ligand binding to U is directly proportional to the concentration of ligand. Consequently, at a high concentration of ligand, the binding of ligand to U, albeit with low affinity (Table 1), appears to be more favorable energetically than the folding of U to N (Fig. 1), making IF the preferred pathway for folding. Finally, it is the fractional reaction flux along each pathway that determines the preferred pathway for a reaction. The switch in the mechanism occurs (Fig. 8, a and b) when the fractional flux along the IF pathway overrides that along the CS pathway at high ligand concentration. It is also important to note that the switch to the IF mechanism occurs at a higher concentration of ligand at 3.5 M than at 2.8 M urea because the equilibrium constant of dissociation of the ligand from the unfolded state ($K_D^U$) increases with an increase in urea concentration (Fig. S1b and Table 1).

It is important to understand the mode of interactions involved in the binding of U to the ligand because the binding site is not formed in the U state ensemble. The interactions between the native protein and the peptide ligand are primarily hydrophobic in nature. Leu-3 and Pro-4 in the peptide ligand interact with Trp-53, Pro-68, and Tyr-71 in the protein. Electr...
tetrostatic interactions of Arg-1 and Arg-6 of the peptide with Asp-19 and Glu-49 of the protein are also critical for binding (30). Moreover, the protein is negatively charged, and the peptide is positively charged at pH 7.2. It has been shown that the U state ensemble in low concentrations of urea is more compact than in high concentrations of urea (53). It is conceivable that the ligand-binding interface might be partially formed in the compact unfolded state at low urea concentrations and that the charge density at the binding interface of the collapsed unfolded protein could be high. The partially formed, negatively charged binding interface in U would enable its binding to the ligand at high ligand concentrations, causing the folding reaction to proceed via the IF mechanism. In this context, it is important to note that proteins fold and unfold many times during their lifetime in a cell, and it is possible that a ligand whose binding induces folding may be present at a high enough local concentration in the cell such that the IF pathway is operative. It should be noted that the values of $K_D^U$ and $K_D^S$ are $\approx 7$ and $\approx 90$ $\mu M$ in zero denaturant, and the local concentration of a structure-inducing ligand could conceivably reach these values.

Folding under unfolding conditions

The folding of the PI3K SH3 domain under strongly denaturing conditions was achieved by coupling the folding reaction to the binding reaction. Because binding-induced folding of the PI3K SH3 domain follows a CS mechanism under such conditions, folding and unfolding rate constants could be obtained from the dependence of the observed rate constants on ligand concentration. The observation that the folding rate constants determined from the ligand-induced folding experiments fall on the extrapolated folding arm of the chevron indicates that linear extrapolation of the chevron arms to higher denaturant concentrations (Fig. 6b) for determining the folding rate constant under denaturing conditions is valid for this protein. Previously, folding under unfolding conditions had been studied in the case of barnase when its folding was induced by the binding of barstar (6) and in the case of a PDZ domain when its folding was induced by the binding of a specific peptide ligand (5).

Conclusion

Ligand binding experiments carried out under unfolding conditions reveal that the PI3K SH3 domain undergoes ligand-induced folding. Under strongly denaturing conditions, folding follows the CS mechanism. Thus, the rate constants of folding under denaturing conditions, which are otherwise difficult to determine, could be measured directly. In contrast, under mildly denaturing conditions, the reaction flux proceeds via both the CS and IF pathways. As a result, a ligand concentration-mediated switch in the mechanism is seen to occur, from CS at low ligand concentrations to IF at high ligand concentrations. This work experimentally shows that the mechanism of ligand-induced folding should never be categorized as being either CS or IF. For deducing the mechanism of a binding-induced folding process, the flux of molecules along both the pathways should be calculated from knowledge of the concentrations of all the species populated and the rate constants of all the steps over a wide range of ligand concentration. The ligand concentration-mediated switch in the mechanism of folding might have a role in regulating the functions of certain IDPs in the cell.

Materials and methods

Buffers and reagents

All the reagents used in this study were of the highest purity grade from Sigma. Urea of ultrahigh purity was obtained from United States Biochemical Corp. 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) was used as the native buffer, and unfolding buffer contained different concentrations of urea in the native buffer. All the experiments were carried out at 25 °C. The Prorich peptide (RPLPPPSK) was obtained from Genscript. Its purity was found by electrospray ionization MS to be >95%.

Protein expression and purification

Protein expression and purification were carried out as described previously (54). The purity of the protein was checked by electrospray ionization MS and found to be >95%. The protein had the expected mass of 9276.8 Da. The protein concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm and using a molar extinction coefficient of 17,900 $M^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ (55).

Determination of peptide concentration

The concentration of a solution of the peptide was determined by comparing the methyl group resonance intensities of the peptide with that of a known concentration of Ala in a $^1$H NMR spectrum. A standard curve of absorbance at 205 nm versus peptide concentration was generated using this known concentration of peptide. A molar extinction coefficient of 29,613 $M^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ was obtained at 205 nm.

Establishing the monomeric nature of the peptide ligand

The DLS experiments were carried out on a DynaPro-99 unit (Protein Solutions Ltd.). The DLS profile of 8 mM peptide in 3.5 M urea was acquired, and the peptide was found to be monomeric at this concentration, which was the highest used in the binding experiments (Fig. S5b). Hence, the peptide ligand was monomeric at all the concentrations used.

Fluorescence emission spectra

Fluorescence emission spectra were acquired using a Fluoromax 3 (Horiba) spectrophotometer with the excitation wavelength set at 268 nm. The excitation slit width was 1 nm, and the fluorescence emission signal was collected from 280 to 430 nm with an emission slit width of 10 nm.

Equilibrium binding experiments

Equilibrium binding experiments were carried out using the MOS 450 optical system from Biologic and a cuvette of 1-cm path length. An excitation wavelength of 268 nm with a slit width of 4 nm was used. The fluorescence signal was collected at 320 nm using a bandpass filter (Asahi Spectra) with a bandwidth of 10 nm. The concentration of the protein used in the experiments was in the range of 1–5 $\mu M$. The wavelength of 320 nm was chosen for measuring fluorescence to monitor binding because the difference in fluorescence between the unbound and bound states was maximum at this wavelength as a conse-
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sequence of ligand binding causing a blue shift in the fluorescence emission spectrum.

Stopped-flow refolding and unfolding experiments

All kinetic refolding and unfolding experiments in the millisecond time regime were carried out using a stopped-flow module (SFM4) from Biologic. Unfolded protein in 6 M urea or native protein was diluted rapidly into solutions containing different concentrations of urea to achieve a final urea concentration in the range of 0.6–3.8 M and of 4–8 M for refolding and unfolding experiments, respectively. The excitation wavelength used was 268 nm for the specific excitation of Tyr, and the emitted fluorescence was collected using a 300-nm bandpass optical filter. The dead time was 11 ms when a cuvette of 0.2-cm path length and a flow rate of 5 ml/s were used.

Kinetic ligand-induced folding experiments

The kinetics of ligand-induced folding were monitored by fluorescence measurement using the MOS 450 optical system. Protein in different concentrations of urea was diluted into solutions containing different concentrations of ligand but the same concentration of urea to achieve the desired increase in the concentration of the ligand without affecting the urea concentration. The excitation wavelength used was 268 nm, and the emitted fluorescence was collected using a 320-nm bandpass optical filter. A dead time of 8–10 s was obtained by mixing the two solutions manually.

Equilibrium unfolding experiments

Equilibrium unfolding experiments were carried out using the MOS 450 optical system and a cuvette of 1-cm path length. The specific excitation of Tyr was achieved by using an excitation wavelength of 268 nm with a slit width of 4 nm. Fluorescence signals in the absence and presence of the ligand were collected using bandpass filters (Asahi Spectra) at 300 ± 10 and 320 ± 10 nm, respectively. The concentration of the protein used was in the range of 10–15 μM. The wavelength of 300 nm was chosen for measuring the change in fluorescence to monitor unfolding in the absence of ligand because the change in fluorescence upon unfolding was maximum at this wavelength.

Data analysis

Equilibrium unfolding experiments—The stability (∆G_{NU}) and its dependence on urea concentration (m_{NU} value) were obtained by fitting each equilibrium unfolding curve to a two-state N ↔ U model (56).

Equilibrium binding curve—The equilibrium binding curve obtained in the absence of any ligand was fit to Equation 1.

\[ F = F_0 + \frac{\Delta F \cdot [L]}{[L] + K_D^0} \] (Eq. 1)

Here, \( F \), \( F_0 \), and ∆F are the measured fluorescence signal, the fluorescence signal in the absence of the ligand, and the change in the fluorescence signal upon complete ligand binding, respectively. \( L \) is the ligand concentration, and \( K_D^0 \) is the equilibrium constant of dissociation from N.

The equilibrium ligand binding curve obtained at each urea concentration was fit to Equation 2.

\[ f_b = \frac{[NL] + [UL]}{[NL] + [UL] + [N] + [U]} = \frac{[L]}{K_D^0 + K_U \cdot K_N^0 + [L] \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{K_U \cdot K_N^0}{K_D^0} \right)} + \frac{[L]}{K_D^0 + K_U + [L] \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{K_U}{K_D^0} \right)} \] (Eq. 2)

The fraction of protein bound to the peptide ligand (\( f_b \)) includes both N (NL) and U (UL) in their bound form. \( K_D^0 \) is the equilibrium constant of dissociation from U. \( K_U \) is the equilibrium constant of unfolding of the unbound state. Equations 1 and 2 are valid when the concentration of protein is low (<\( K_D^0 \) or \( K_U^0 \)) so that, even when all the protein molecules have ligand bound to them, the free ligand concentration can be taken to be equal to the total ligand concentration.

Dependence of the observed rate constant of folding on ligand concentration—If folding follows only the CS mechanism

\[ U \leftrightarrow N + L \leftrightarrow NL \]

Mechanism CS

and when the folding step is the rate-limiting step of the overall process, then when the ligand concentration is much greater than the protein concentration, the observed rate constant of folding, \( k_{obs} \), is given by Equation 3.

\[ k_{obs} = k_f + \frac{K_D^0}{[L] + K_D^0} \] (Eq. 3)

where \( k_f \) and \( k_u \) are the folding and unfolding rate constants, respectively, in the absence of the ligand.

If folding follows both the CS mechanism (Mechanism CS) and IF mechanism simultaneously (see Fig. 1)

\[ U + L \leftrightarrow UL \leftrightarrow NL \]

Mechanism IF

and when the folding steps are the rate-limiting steps, then when the ligand concentration is much greater than the protein concentration, \( k_{obs} \) is given by Equation 4.

\[ k_{obs} = k_g + \frac{K_D^0}{[L] + K_D^0} + k_U + \frac{K_D^0}{[L] + K_D^0} + k_\text{UL} \cdot [L] + \frac{K_D^0}{[L] + K_D^0} \] (Eq. 4)

Where \( k_g \) and \( k_\text{UL} \) are the folding and unfolding rate constants, respectively, for the ligand-bound states.

Calculation of fractional reaction flux—The flux along each pathway was calculated from the values of forward rate constants of each step and the concentration of each species (7). The flux along the CS pathway (\( F_{CS} \)) is given by Equation 5.
\[ \frac{1}{F_{CS}} = \frac{1}{k_f \cdot [U]} + \frac{1}{k_b \cdot [N] \cdot [L]} \]  
(Eq. 5)

Here, \( K_{b}^{U} \) is the bimolecular rate constant of binding of L to N. If \( P_T \) is the total protein concentration, then the fraction of protein that is unfolded is given by Equation 6.

\[ [U] = f_{u} \cdot [P_T] \]  
(Eq. 6)

Combining Equations 6 and 5 and when \( k_f \ll K_{b}^{U} \cdot [L] \), Equation 5 reduces to Equation 7.

\[ F_{CS} = k_f \cdot f_{u} \cdot [P_T] \]  
(Eq. 7)

The flux along the IF pathway is given by Equation 8.

\[ \frac{1}{F_{IF}} = \frac{1}{k_b \cdot [U] \cdot [L]} + \frac{1}{k_l \cdot [UL]} \]  
(Eq. 8)

Here, \( K_{l}^{U} \) is the bimolecular rate constant of binding of L to U.

\[ [UL] = \frac{[L]}{[L] + K_b} \cdot [P_T] \]  
(Eq. 9)

Combining Equations 9 and 8 and when \( k_l \ll K_{l}^{U} \cdot [L] \), Equation 9 reduces to Equation 10.

\[ F_{IF} = \frac{k_l \cdot [P_T] \cdot [L]}{[L] + K_b} \]  
(Eq. 10)

The fractional flux of molecules along the CS pathway and along the IF pathway was calculated using Equations 7 and 10.

Kinetic refolding and unfolding experiments—The dependence on urea concentration of the observed rate constants of folding and unfolding in the absence of any ligand, obtained from urea-jump experiments, was fitted, using a two-state model, to Equation 11.

\[ \ln k_{obs} = \ln k_{f}^{\text{H2O}} \cdot e^{-m_{f} \cdot [D]} + \ln k_{u}^{\text{H2O}} \cdot e^{m_{u} \cdot [D]} \]  
(Eq. 11)

Here, \( k_{obs} \) is the observed rate constant; \( k_{f}^{\text{H2O}} \) and \( k_{u}^{\text{H2O}} \) are the rate constants of folding and unfolding in water; \( m_{f} \) and \( m_{u} \) are representative of the exposure of surface area that occurs due to the transition from U to the transition state (TS) and N to the TS, respectively; and [D] denotes the denaturant (urea) concentration.
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